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Abstract

The IDEFICS/I.Family study (financed by the 6th and 7th European Framework Programs for Research) has investigated the eating behavior of children and adolescents from eight European countries with particular regard to obesity and its health consequences.

In almost all countries, sugar consumption made up more than 20% of overall energy intake. In Germany the proportion was actually 30%. In summary, our results show that improving the quality of food consumed, i.e. increasing the consumption of fruits, vegetables and whole meal products and reducing sugar-added and industrially processed foods, may prevent the development of childhood obesity. A dietary pattern characterized by high levels of vegetables, fruits and whole meal bread, for instance, was associated with a 36% lower risk of overweight and obesity. Moreover, similarities could be seen between the dietary patterns of family members. An association was also observed between lower social status and unfavorable dietary patterns in children.

The results recommend political measures to support children, particularly those from socially disadvantaged families, to eat more healthily and thus prevent the development of overweight and obesity already in childhood.
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Introduction

In recent years it has been shown that differences in diet contribute significantly to health inequality in Europe and that poor diet is one of the main causes of the overall disease burden [2]. Worldwide, dietary-related diseases have become a major concern for healthcare systems and caused a loss of over 56 million years of healthy life for Europeans in 2000 only [3]. One particularly troubling aspect of this is the global increase in obese children and adolescents: if the current trend continues to 2022, there will be for the first time more obese than underweight children and adolescents worldwide. On a global scale the number of obese children and adolescents has increased within 40 years from 11 million in 1975 to 124 million in 2016 [4].

The causes for the development of obesity are very complex and the disease is basically seen as multifactorial [5]. Parents influence their children’s diets through their own eating habits and through the eating environment they create at home [6]. Scientific evidence indicates that an unhealthy diet, sedentary behaviors and a lack of physical activity are major factors in the development of overweight and obesity in childhood and adolescence [7, 8].

This article provides an overview of the nutrition-relevant results of the IDEFICS study (2006–2011) and its subsequent follow-up study I.Family (2012–2017) [9, 10], which were financed by the 6th and 7th European Framework Programs for Research. We summarize below how the various eating habits and the energy and food consumption of children and their parents from eight European countries influence the development of childhood obesity. This overview also describes the results of the derived dietary patterns, which are attracting in-
creasing attention in nutritional epidemiology. Unlike the analysis of individual foods and food groups, the study of dietary patterns offers a more comprehensive picture of individual nutrition. Additionally, we summarize the results of our investigations into social inequality as an important determinant of childhood eating habits.

Methods
IDEFICS/I.Family cohort
The IDEFICS/I.Family cohort aimed to investigate associations between health, diet and lifestyle in European children and adolescents. In 2007/2008, 16,228 children aged from 2 to under 10 from Belgium, Germany, Estonia, Italy, Sweden, Spain, Hungary and Cyprus took part in the baseline survey of the IDEFICS study. Two years later, 13,596 children were examined again (1st follow-up study), of which 11,041 had already participated in the baseline survey. Between the two study waves, a community-based intervention was conducted for half of the children in each country. The IDEFICS intervention was developed as a community-oriented program using a setting-based approach and aimed to enhance diet, physical activity and lower stress in order to reduce the prevalence of dietary and lifestyle-related diseases. In the case of diet the focus was on increasing daily consumption of water, fruits and vegetables; physical activity was to be enhanced by increasing the active time each day and lowering the time watching television; stress was to be reduced by more family time and sufficient sleep. The follow-up study I.Family followed the development of the participating children and their families during the transition from childhood to adolescence. It further aimed to investigate how the health of the children and adolescents could be improved and diseases such as obesity avoided. To this end, the I.Family study examined biological markers and behavioral, social and environmental factors affecting the diet and health of children. In the I.Family study 9,617 children and their families (i.e. parents, siblings and any other carers) were involved. 7,105 of these children had already taken part in IDEFICS studies (baseline and/or initial follow-up surveys). At the time of the I.Family survey they were between 7 and 17 years old.

Instruments and indicators
For the dietary assessment two instruments were used: a food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) [11] and at least one 24-hour dietary recall [12, 13]. It should be noted that dietary assessment in children under 10 years of age is methodologically challenging by the "proxy reports" of the parents [14], as already discussed elsewhere [15].

Height was measured using a SECA stadiometer and body weight determined using TANITA body analysis scales. The measurements were taken in fasting condition and light clothing (underwear). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated using the following formula: body weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared and converted into age- and sex-specific percentiles [16]. Overweight and obesity were defined using the age- and sex-specific cut-off values according to Cole and Lobstein [17].

As important determinants of eating habits three different indicators of socioeconomic status were recorded in a parent questionnaire: net household income, occupational level according to the European Socioeconomic Classification (ESec) [18] and parental education status in line with the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) [19]. The statutory, data protection law and ethical stipulations of the Declaration of Helsinki on the conduct of studies in the case of voluntary participants were complied with. Every study center was granted authorization by the relevant ethics commission. All measurements were provided on a voluntary basis.

Results
Dietary Patterns
In IDEFICS/I.Family, dietary patterns were derived for various study questions based on different study populations, different dietary survey methods and various statistical methods. The derived patterns are similar, but do not correspond exactly due to the different methods and populations used and were therefore given different names in the individual analyses. For instance, the pattern “Vegetables and Whole meal” [11] is characterized by vegetables, fruits and whole meal bread. The same foods are also a significant component of the “Mediterranean” dietary pattern [20] and of the pattern “Healthy” [21–24]. A breakdown of this is provided in...
In other countries, except for Estonia, sugar consumption made up more than 20% and in Germany it was as much as 30% of the children’s overall energy intake [26]. Sugar intake here was defined as all mono- and disaccharides, regardless of whether they were natural or added. The consumption of overall sugar and of foods and drinks with high sugar content was higher at weekends than during the week. These variations in sugar content on weekdays and weekends did not however make any difference to overall energy intake on these days. Energy density (ED, in kcal/g) describes the energy intake in kilocalories (kcal) divided by the overall intake of solid food in grams per day. In most definitions drinks are excluded since they “water down” the true energy density and results can be easily misinterpreted [12]. Children whose food had a low ED had a lower overall energy intake per day, but consumed less cereal products, larger quantities of fruits, vegetables and milk products (compared to children with a higher ED) [12]. The diet composition of the children with a lower ED was thus comparatively healthier: they consumed less fat and sugar, whilst also consuming more protein and total carbohydrates. Furthermore, school children tended to consume food with a higher ED than pre-school-age children.

We recorded the dietary patterns of children and their parents with regard to similarities and differences [13]. Three dietary patterns could be identified which were comparable in parents and children: a so-called “Sweet and Fat” pattern characterized by an above-average intake of sugar and sweets, animal or processed fats, sweetened drinks, sweetened milk and milk products; a “Refined Cereal” pattern characterized by an above-average intake of refined cereals or cereal products (which also contained a lot of sugar and/or fat, such as breakfast cereals or baked goods), vegetable fats and oils and energy-free and unprocessed drinks (water, tea); a pattern called “Animal Products” characterized by an above-average consumption of all kinds of meat and meat alterna-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (year) [reference]</th>
<th>Study population (sample size, age range)</th>
<th>Dietary assessment method</th>
<th>Statistical methods</th>
<th>Identified dietary patterns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pala et al. (2013) [11]</td>
<td>n = 14,989 2 ≤ 10 years</td>
<td>food frequency questionnaire (FFQ)</td>
<td>principal component analysis</td>
<td>1) “Snacking” 2) “Sweet and Fat” 3) “Vegetables and Whole meal” 4) “Protein and Water”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tognon et al. (2014) [20]</td>
<td>n = 7,940 2 ≤ 10 years</td>
<td>food frequency questionnaire (FFQ)</td>
<td>score based on 6 food groups</td>
<td>1) “Mediterranean” dietary pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fernandez et al. (2014) [22]</td>
<td>n = 14,233 2 ≤ 10 years</td>
<td>food frequency questionnaire (FFQ)</td>
<td>country-specific principal component analyses</td>
<td>1) “Processed” (in 8 countries) 2) “Healthy” (in 7 countries)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Identification of dietary patterns in the IDEFICS/I.Family cohort

- Table 1. In the results section we refer to the dietary patterns derived in the individual studies, which explains the large number of patterns mentioned.

Dietary behavior

In the baseline study the average energy intake and distribution of macronutrients for 2 to under 10 year old children within the IDEFICS cohort was estimated and compared with D-A-CH reference values (nutritional guidelines for Germany, Switzerland and Austria including age-related reference values which are generally accepted for European populations). This showed that around one third of the IDEFICS children did not reach the guidelines for the relative consumption of carbohydrates (at least 50% of energy consumption) and around one third of the children exceeded the guidelines for fat consumption (maximum 35% of energy consumption). Less than 10% of the children achieved the recommendation for daily water intake [25].
tives, mixed meals (stews, pasta and rice dishes made up mainly of cereals, pulses and vegetables/potatoes) but also fruits and vegetables (raw and cooked). In the so-called “Animal Products” pattern the energy consumption was lowest. Associations could be identified between the dietary patterns of parents and their children. The probability that a child could be allocated to the pattern “Sweet and Fat”, “Refined Cereals” or “Animal Products” was higher if the mother or father followed the same pattern. The availability of soft drinks during meals increased the probability that children were allocated to the pattern “Sweet and Fat” and correspondingly reduced the probability of them being allocated to the other two categories.

In another longitudinal analysis three food patterns were identified in the baseline and subsequent surveys. The first pattern was called “Processed” on account of the high consumption of fast food and snacks. The second pattern, called “Sweet,” was rich in sweet foods and drinks and the third pattern “Healthy” was characterized by a high proportion of fruits and vegetables and whole meal products [21–24]. In comparison to children from the healthy food pattern, children with a combination of the patterns “Processed” and “Sweet” had a higher BMI after 2 years, a larger waist measurement and an increased percentage of body fat [21]. On the other hand the food pattern “Vegetable and Whole meal was associated with a 36% lower risk of excess weight and obesity after 2 years [11].

In a further analysis, a so-called “Mediterranean” dietary pattern characterized by an above-average consumption of vegetables, pulses, fruits, nuts, cereals, all kinds of fish and an above-average consumption of milk products, meat, and meat products also showed negative associations with excess weight in children and childhood obesity [20]. Surprisingly, the Mediterranean pattern was adhered to most frequently by Swedish children and less often by children in the Mediterranean regions. This observation agrees with the finding that Swedish children from our cohort are less often overweight or obese than children from Italy, Cyprus and Spain [30].

In our investigations we observed positive associations between daily overall food intake (g/day), overall energy intake (kcal/day) and age- and sex-specific BMI. However, overall energy intake was a stronger predictor of unhealthy weight development than overall food intake [28]. In a longitudinal analysis of the IDEFICS cohort, there was also a positive association between energy intake and BMI; this applied equally when the objectively measured physical activity of the children was taken into consideration [29].

In addition, we examined the similarities of family members with regard to the selection of food groups classified as “healthy” or “unhealthy” [27]. Family members were similar in their food choices, whereby siblings among themselves were more similar than parents and children. Younger children (< 12 years) showed more similar dietary intakes to their parents than older children (> 12 years).

**Dietary behavior and weight status**

In our investigations we observed positive associations between daily overall food intake (g/day), overall energy intake (kcal/day) and age- and sex-specific BMI. However, overall energy intake was a stronger predictor of unhealthy weight development than overall food intake [28]. In a longitudinal analysis of the IDEFICS cohort, there was also a positive association between energy intake and BMI; this applied equally when the objectively measured physical activity of the children was taken into consideration [29].

In addition, we examined the similarities of family members with regard to the selection of food groups classified as “healthy” or “unhealthy” [27]. Family members were similar in their food choices, whereby siblings among themselves were more similar than parents and children. Younger children (< 12 years) showed more similar dietary intakes to their parents than older children (> 12 years).

In another longitudinal analysis three food patterns were identified in the baseline and subsequent surveys. The first pattern was called “Processed” on account of the high consumption of fast food and snacks. The second pattern, called “Sweet,” was rich in sweet foods and drinks and the third pattern “Healthy” was characterized by a high proportion of fruits and vegetables and whole meal products [21–24]. In comparison to children from the healthy food pattern, children with a combination of the patterns “Processed” and “Sweet” had a higher BMI after 2 years, a larger waist measurement and an increased percentage of body fat [21]. On the other hand the food pattern “Vegetable and Whole meal was associated with a 36% lower risk of excess weight and obesity after 2 years [11].

In a further analysis, a so-called “Mediterranean” dietary pattern characterized by an above-average consumption of vegetables, pulses, fruits, nuts, cereals, all kinds of fish and an above-average consumption of milk products, meat, and meat products also showed negative associations with excess weight in children and childhood obesity [20]. Surprisingly, the Mediterranean pattern was adhered to most frequently by Swedish children and less often by children in the Mediterranean regions. This observation agrees with the finding that Swedish children from our cohort are less often overweight or obese than children from Italy, Cyprus and Spain [30].

**Socioeconomic status of the parents and dietary habits of the children**

To what extent the socio-economic status (SES) of the parents affects the dietary behaviors of their children was analyzed in several cross-sectional and longitudinal investigations. A cross-sectional analysis of the IDEFICS study showed that children from families with a low educational background consumed high-sugar and high-fat foods more frequently than children from families with a background of higher education [31]. In another cross-sectional analysis, country-specific patterns were identified [22]. It is noteworthy that the dietary patterns “Processed” and “Healthy” were identified in almost all countries. In all countries except Sweden, the dietary pattern “Processed” showed a negative correlation with a SES indicator which combined the educational status of the parents, their income and occupational level. The dietary pattern “Healthy” correlated positively with the SES indicator of the Belgian, German, Hungarian and Estonian families [22].

To what extent the educational status of the parents is related to the above-mentioned dietary patterns “Processed”, “Sweet” and “Healthy” was the focus of two longitudinal analyses. Children from parents with high educational levels from the highest income brackets were more often allocated to a healthy dietary pattern in both IDEFICS surveys and less often to the “Sweet” pattern than children of parents with a lower level of education. Furthermore, children of parents with a migration background were more often allocated to the pattern “Processed” than were children of parents without a migration background [23]. In a comparable analysis the classic definition of SES was expanded by factors of social vulnerability. Here migration status, social network, family structure and employment status were taken into account [24]. The more factors of these social vulnerabilities applied, the higher the probability that the children were allocated to the pattern “Processed” at both survey times and the more rarely they displayed the dietary pattern “Healthy”.

**Dietary behavior and weight status**

In our investigations we observed positive associations between daily overall food intake (g/day), overall energy intake (kcal/day) and age- and sex-specific BMI. However, overall energy intake was a stronger predictor of unhealthy weight development than overall food intake [28]. In a longitudinal analysis of the IDEFICS cohort, there was also a positive association between energy intake and BMI; this applied equally when the objectively measured physical activity of the children was taken into consideration [29].

In another longitudinal analysis three food patterns were identified in the baseline and subsequent surveys. The first pattern was called “Processed” on account of the high consumption of fast food and snacks. The second pattern, called “Sweet,” was rich in sweet foods and drinks and the third pattern “Healthy” was characterized by a high proportion of fruits and vegetables and whole meal products [21–24]. In comparison to children from the healthy food pattern, children with a combination of the patterns “Processed” and “Sweet” had a higher BMI after 2 years, a larger waist measurement and an increased percentage of body fat [21]. On the other hand the food pattern “Vegetable and Whole meal was associated with a 36% lower risk of excess weight and obesity after 2 years [11].

In a further analysis, a so-called “Mediterranean” dietary pattern characterized by an above-average consumption of vegetables, pulses, fruits, nuts, cereals, all kinds of fish and an above-average consumption of milk products, meat, and meat products also showed negative associations with excess weight in children and childhood obesity [20]. Surprisingly, the Mediterranean pattern was adhered to most frequently by Swedish children and less often by children in the Mediterranean regions. This observation agrees with the finding that Swedish children from our cohort are less often overweight or obese than children from Italy, Cyprus and Spain [30].

**Socioeconomic status of the parents and dietary habits of the children**

To what extent the socio-economic status (SES) of the parents affects the dietary behaviors of their children was analyzed in several cross-sectional and longitudinal investigations. A cross-sectional analysis of the IDEFICS study showed that children from families with a low educational background consumed high-sugar and high-fat foods more frequently than children from families with a background of higher education [31]. In another cross-sectional analysis, country-specific patterns were identified [22]. It is noteworthy that the dietary patterns “Processed” and “Healthy” were identified in almost all countries. In all countries except Sweden, the dietary pattern “Processed” showed a negative correlation with a SES indicator which combined the educational status of the parents, their income and occupational level. The dietary pattern “Healthy” correlated positively with the SES indicator of the Belgian, German, Hungarian and Estonian families [22].
Conclusions

In order to prevent overweight and obesity in childhood, energy density and the intake of sugar and highly processed foods should be reduced, whilst aiming to increase the proportions of fruits, vegetables and whole meal cereals in the habitual diet.

Parents and siblings act as role models of healthy dietary behavior. Particularly young children are influenced strongly by the example set by adults and this example should be healthy eating habits. The dietary patterns of children and adolescents are negatively influenced by the availability of unhealthy foods at home, especially soft drinks.

Interventions aiming to reduce unhealthy eating habits in families and to encourage a healthy food environment at home have the potential to prevent the development of dietary-related diseases in childhood. Particularly for children from socially disadvantaged families it would be necessary to facilitate access to healthy foods and reduce the burden on these families through policy action. In this respect, the German Prevention Act [PrävG] partly implemented in 2015 offers an excellent opportunity to support families in improving their health literacy and to create a health-promoting living environment.
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